

3  
4 Genomic Response to Selection for Predatory Behavior in a Mammalian Model  
5 of Adaptive Radiation  
6

7 M. Konczal<sup>1,2,3</sup>, P. Koteja<sup>1</sup>, P. Orłowska-Feuer<sup>4,a</sup>, J. Radwan<sup>5</sup>, E.T. Sadowska<sup>1</sup>, W. Babik<sup>1</sup>

8  
9 <sup>1</sup>Institute of Environmental Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland

10 <sup>2</sup> Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Dr.  
11 Aiguader 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain

12 <sup>3</sup> Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain

13 <sup>4</sup> Department of Neurophysiology and Chronobiology, Institute of Zoology, Jagiellonian  
14 University, Kraków, Poland

15 <sup>5</sup> Institute of Environmental Biology, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań,  
16 Poland

17 <sup>a</sup> Current Address: Malopolska Centre of Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland

18  
19  
20 Corresponding author:

21 Mateusz Konczal

22 Email: [mateusz.konczal@gmail.com](mailto:mateusz.konczal@gmail.com)

24 **Abstract**

25 If genetic architectures of various quantitative traits are similar, as studies on model organisms suggest,  
26 comparable selection pressures should produce similar molecular patterns for various traits. To test this  
27 prediction, we used a laboratory model of vertebrate adaptive radiation to investigate the genetic basis of the  
28 response to selection for predatory behavior and compare it with evolution of aerobic capacity reported in an  
29 earlier work. After 13 generations of selection, the proportion of bank voles (*Myodes [=Clethrionomys]*  
30 *glareolus*) showing predatory behavior was five times higher in selected lines than in controls. We analyzed  
31 the hippocampus and liver transcriptomes and found repeatable changes in allele frequencies and gene  
32 expression. Genes with the largest differences between predatory and control lines are associated with hunger,  
33 aggression, biological rhythms and functioning of the nervous system. Evolution of predatory behavior could  
34 be meaningfully compared with evolution of high aerobic capacity, because the experiments and analyses were  
35 performed in the same methodological framework. The number of genes that changed expression was much  
36 smaller in predatory lines and allele frequencies changed repeatably in predatory but not in aerobic lines. This  
37 suggests that more variants of smaller effects underlie variation in aerobic performance, whereas fewer variants  
38 of larger effects underlie variation in predatory behavior. Our results thus contradict the view that comparable  
39 selection pressures for different quantitative traits produce similar molecular patterns. Therefore, to gain  
40 knowledge about molecular-level response to selection for complex traits, we need to investigate not only  
41 multiple replicate populations, but also multiple quantitative traits.

42

43 **Key words**

44 Selection experiment, genetic architecture, RNA-Seq, quantitative traits, predatory behavior, mammals

## 45 **Introduction**

46 Genetic basis of adaptive evolutionary change has intrigued researchers for decades (Lewontin 1974; Radwan  
47 and Babik 2012), but general patterns of response to selection, especially in sexually reproducing species, are  
48 poorly understood. It has recently been proposed that, as a remedy, two strategies – identification of the loci  
49 that contribute to variation in traits influencing fitness and examination of the trajectories of polymorphisms  
50 during adaptation – should be combined in the evolve and resequence approach (Turner et al. 2011; Kofler and  
51 Schlötterer 2013). In evolve and resequence studies experimental evolution is combined with high throughput  
52 sequencing to identify the genotype-phenotype link, to test the role of selection in shaping genetic variation  
53 and to study evolution in real time (Garland and Rose 2009; Kawecki et al. 2012; Schlötterer et al. 2015). In  
54 our previous work we used this strategy to investigate initial molecular-level response to selection for high  
55 aerobic capacity in bank voles (*Myodes [=Clethrionomys] glareolus*) (Konczal et al. 2015). Studying four  
56 independent replicates in both the selected and unselected groups (line types) allowed an effective control for  
57 the effects of drift, but similarly to other selection experiments, our conclusions were based on analyses of a  
58 single phenotype. The bank voles selected for high aerobic capacity are, however, a part of larger project – a  
59 laboratory model of adaptive radiation (Sadowska et al. 2008) – including also lines selected for other  
60 ecologically important traits. It is thus worthwhile to ask, whether directional selection imposed under identical  
61 conditions on different quantitative traits will produce similar patterns at the genomic level.

62 Experimental studies showed that evolution can be rapid: significant changes in phenotype have been  
63 observed for many species after only several generations (Garland and Rose 2009; Johansson et al. 2010;  
64 Orozco-terWengel et al. 2012). Trajectories of selected variants are, however, complex. Instead of going to  
65 fixation, variants may sometimes stabilize at intermediate frequencies, which reduces power to distinguish  
66 them from variants affected mainly by drift (Orozco-terWengel et al. 2012; Burke et al. 2010). General patterns  
67 of response to selection, such as repeatability of allele frequency changes, magnitude of change, number of  
68 loci under selection or proportion of adaptive changes in regulatory sequences remain still a matter of  
69 uncertainty.

70 The patterns of response to selection depend on population size and selection protocol, but it is less  
71 clear whether they vary depending on the trait under selection. The available evidence from flies, mice and  
72 humans (Flint & Mackay 2009) suggests that most quantitative, fitness-related traits have complex genetic

73 basis, with large number of loci of small effect underlying their variation. Flint and Mackay (2009) argued that  
74 the distributions of effect sizes of common variants for most phenotypes and species are similar and  
75 discrepancies can be explained by differences in experimental design. This uniform genetic architecture would  
76 imply that selection for various quantitative traits performed under identical conditions starting from the same  
77 base population should produce similar patterns at the genomic level (i.e. number of responding genes,  
78 magnitude of allele frequency changes, repeatability at the molecular level). On the other hand, theory suggests  
79 that genetic architecture underlying quantitative traits should evolve and differ between phenotypes (Hansen  
80 2006; Rajon and Plotkin 2013; Remington 2015). For example, a theoretical model proposed by Rajon and  
81 Plotkin (2013) predicts that traits under moderate selection pressure should be encoded by many genes,  
82 whereas traits under either weak or strong selection should be encoded by relatively few genes. Some light  
83 may be shed on this controversy by answering the empirical question whether directional selection imposed  
84 under identical conditions on different quantitative traits will produce similar patterns at the genomic level.

85 To study general patterns of molecular-level response to selection for quantitative traits we performed  
86 transcriptomic analyses on animals from a unique laboratory model of mammalian adaptive radiation (Fig. 1;  
87 Sadowska et al. 2008): 12 lines derived from a natural, outbred population of a wild rodent, the bank vole  
88 (*Myodes [=Clethrionomys] glareolus*). Four independent lines were selected for increased predatory behavior  
89 (time to catch a cricket; P/predatory lines), four for high aerobic exercise metabolism (1-minute maximum rate  
90 of oxygen consumption achieved during swimming; A/aerobic lines), and four were not intentionally selected  
91 (C/control lines). We sequenced pooled RNA samples (pooled RNA-Seq; Konczal et al. 2014) to compare both  
92 gene expression and allele frequencies in transcribed parts of the genome (De Wit et al. 2015). In our previous  
93 work we reported the results for a comparison of the aerobic and control lines (Konczal et al. 2015). The main  
94 aims of the present work were to 1) investigate the transcriptome-wide response to the selection for predatory  
95 behavior, and 2) compare it with the response to selection for high aerobic metabolism.

96 Predation is an ecological factor of almost universal importance for regulating ecosystems and  
97 sustaining biodiversity (Curio 1976; Ritchie et al. 2009; Ishii et al. 2010; Ritchie et al. 2012) and has serious  
98 consequences for survival and reproductive success (Curio 1976). Yet, little is known about potential of species  
99 to evolve predatory behavior, not to mention its genetic basis. According to our knowledge, only one other  
100 experiment focused on response to artificial selection for predatory behavior (Polsky 1978), and only few

101 others concerned predatory behavior in rodents as a possible correlated response to selection for other forms  
102 of aggression (Sandnabba 1995) or high wheel-running activity (Gammie et al. 2003), or in insects in response  
103 to divergent selection for developmental rate (Sidduki et al. 2015) or to laboratory natural selection driven by  
104 low quality food (Vijendravarma et al. 2013). The potential to evolve predatory behavior may be, however,  
105 substantial itself if genes involved in inter-individual aggressive behaviors can be co-opted to produce  
106 predatory aggression. Identification of ‘predation genes’ may shed light on the possibility of shared genetic  
107 basis of intra- and interspecific aggression. At the neuronal level predatory behavior is recognized by higher  
108 aggression, minimal arousal and limited social communication (Tulogdi et al. 2015). Hippocampus, as  
109 associated with many of these attributes, is studied here by transcriptomic analyses.

110 In most evolve and resequence studies researchers focus on a single phenotype, which is selected in  
111 replicate populations (Swallow et al. 1998; Burke et al. 2010; Turner et al. 2011; Orozco-terWengel et al.  
112 2012). Identical conditions and experimental setup allow us to compare response to selection between two  
113 quantitative traits – aerobic exercise performance and predatory behavior – in liver transcriptomes. In the lines  
114 selected for aerobic capacity response occurs through changes in expression of many genes, but no evidence  
115 for repeatable changes of allele frequencies in transcribed regions was found (Konczal et al. 2015). However,  
116 this pattern of response to selection is primarily driven by changes in regulatory sequences and lack of  
117 repeatable allele frequencies shifts may not be universal for all traits. By comparing genetic response to  
118 selection on various traits within the same experiment we control for biases, which could be associated with  
119 different methodologies, genetic composition of selected populations, population sizes or selection regimes.

120

## 121 **Results**

### 122 *Transcriptome assembly and annotation*

123 We used 80.2 mln (M) of paired-end reads (2 x 100bp) from one control line (C3) to reconstruct the  
124 hippocampus transcriptome. *De novo* assembly resulted in 219,886 transcripts, which were then reduced to  
125 153,677 transcriptome-based gene models (putative isoforms were merged into a single consensus sequence  
126 and referred to as contigs; Tab. 1). Of these 28,743 were identified as putatively protein-coding, and 21,407  
127 (74.5%) were successfully annotated to 13,305 known genes using SwissProt, showing that some genes were

128 fragmented in the transcriptome assembly.

129 For the remaining 7 lines (3 C lines and 4 P lines) we obtained altogether 250 M of 100 bp single-  
130 end reads from hippocampus transcriptomes ( $35.6 \text{ M} \pm (\text{SD}) 15.3 \text{ M}$  per sample). These reads, together with  
131 subsampled sequences from the C3 line (35 M single-end reads) were used to compare hippocampus  
132 transcriptomes between the selected and control lines.

133 For analyses of liver transcriptomes we used the previously published liver reference transcriptome  
134 (Konczal et al. 2014). We sequenced liver transcriptomes of P lines (127 M single-end 100 bp reads,  $31.9 \text{ M} \pm$   
135 (SD) 3.7 per sample) and compared them with transcriptomes of control lines from the same generation of the  
136 selection experiment (Konczal et al. 2015).

### 137 *Polymorphism*

138 Using reads from the liver and hippocampus we identified 179,468 SNPs, which were grouped into four  
139 classes: nonsynonymous, synonymous, UTR-located and noncoding (Tab. 2). The SNPs were localized in  
140 15,580 contigs, 11,076 of which were putatively protein coding. In accordance with expectations, allele  
141 frequency spectra differed between SNP classes. Spectrum of nonsynonymous variants was the most skewed,  
142 indicating purifying selection (Fig. S1, Tab. S1). To test the effects of selection and effective population size  
143 ( $N_e$ , calculated from pedigree ranged from 51 to 74, Fig. S.2) on variation within lines we counted the  
144 number of polymorphic sites (minor allele frequency  $> 0.05$ ) within each line. Polymorphism was affected  
145 by  $N_e$  (GLMM,  $F(1,6)=9.9$ ,  $p=0.02$ ), while the effect of treatment (C vs. P) was not significant (GLMM,  
146  $F(1,6)=1.6$ ,  $p=0.25$ ).

### 147 *Repeatability of allele frequency changes in predatory and aerobic lines*

148 To test whether selection resulted in repeatable changes of allele frequencies we investigated pairwise  $F_{ST}$   
149 distances between predatory and control lines for all SNPs. Ordination of the pairwise  $F_{ST}$  matrix did not reveal  
150 clustering of selected and control lines ( $p=0.20$ ; permutation test; Fig. S3), similarly as in the case of the  
151 previous comparison between aerobic and control lines ( $p=0.64$ ; permutation test; Fig. S3), although the  
152 difference between predatory and control lines appear to be higher than that between aerobic and control ones.  
153 However, when we sampled 500 SNPs with the highest mean pairwise  $F_{ST}$  (i.e. showing the highest overall  
154 differentiation), the clustering was not observed for aerobic lines (Fig 2A;  $p=0.61$ ; permutation test), whereas

155 the predatory lines clustered apart from controls (Fig 2B;  $p=0.06$ ; permutation test).

156 We further tested whether the number of SNPs differentiating predatory lines from controls exceeded  
157 neutral expectations obtained from pedigree-based simulations. To this end we investigated SNPs with allele  
158 frequencies non-overlapping between predatory and control lines (3,715 SNPs, 2.07% of all SNPs, located in  
159 2,050 contigs). Within this set of differentiated SNPs 419 were nonsynonymous (1.93% of all nonsynonymous  
160 SNPs, in 338 contigs), 965 (2.19%, in 696 contigs) synonymous, 1682 UTR-located (2.04 %, in 962 contigs)  
161 and 649 (2.08%, in 407 contigs) noncoding. The observed number of differentiated SNPs was significantly  
162 higher than expected ( $p=0.02$ ). In separate tests for various SNP classes the excess of differentiated SNPs was  
163 found for synonymous polymorphisms ( $p=0.01$ ), while the number of differentiated nonsynonymous ( $p=0.52$ ),  
164 UTR-located ( $p=0.16$ ) and non-coding ( $p=0.25$ ) SNPs did not depart from neutral expectations. This result  
165 again differs from that for aerobic lines, in which the number of differentiated SNPs did not exceed drift  
166 expectations either for all SNPs or for any particular class (Konczal et al. 2015). However, in both cases the  
167 relatively small population sizes result in low population recombination rate, which may cause entire long  
168 haplotypes to drift. To control for the effect of linkage additional analyses were performed.

169 For each contig, variation in the base population was estimated using polymorphism data from control  
170 lines corrected for the loss of variation during the experiment. A set of haplotypes in the base population was  
171 then obtained using coalescent simulations (see Materials and Methods). These haplotypes were used for  
172 pedigree-based simulations to estimate the expected number of differentiated SNPs. Also this analysis  
173 confirmed an excess of differentiated SNPs in predatory lines, whereas for aerobic lines the observed and  
174 simulated data did not differ (Tab. 3). The excess of differentiation in the predatory lines was observed mostly  
175 in synonymous and UTR-located SNPs (Tab. 4).

#### 176 *Candidate loci for predatory behavior*

177 To identify loci most differentiated between predatory and control lines we applied two strategies based on  
178 differences in allele frequencies or differences in read counts. Firstly, we sorted SNPs with non-overlapping  
179 allele frequencies according to their diffStat value (Turner et al. 2011). DiffStat is the difference in allele  
180 frequency between a selected line with the highest frequency and a control line with the lowest (or vice versa).  
181 To select the loci most differentiated between treatments, we considered SNPs with  $\text{diffStat} > 0.2$  (94 SNPs;  
182 probability of obtaining such diffStat by chance in pedigree based simulations  $< 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ . For this group, we

183 carried out manual verification and investigation of their molecular functions. The full list of these genes is  
184 provided in Supplementary Materials (Tab S2). Secondly, following the strategy applied by Jha et al. (2015),  
185 we used generalized linear-mixed model (GLMM) to compare allele frequency differentiation between  
186 predatory and control lines. To do so, for each SNP we compared the number of sequencing reads with  
187 reference and alternative variants between treatments. We narrowed down the list to 114 SNPs with p-value <  
188  $10^{-10}$  (probability of obtaining such p-value by chance in simulations <  $5.98 \times 10^{-4}$ ), and listed these SNPs in  
189 Supplementary Materials (Tab. S3).

190 Results of both analyses were consistent, showing a significant overrepresentation of SNPs with allele  
191 frequencies highly differentiated between treatments, although in both cases false discovery rate assessed by  
192 simulations was substantial (0.86 and 0.94 respectively). Nevertheless, SNPs detected by both approaches (38  
193 SNPs; FDR = 0.69) are the strongest candidates for being targets of selection and some genes harboring such  
194 SNPs are discussed below (Tab. S4).

#### 195 *Changes in gene expression*

196 To determine differences in gene expression between predatory and control lines, we mapped reads from the  
197 liver and hippocampus to the respective transcriptomes, and compared expression between the P and C lines.  
198 Multidimensional scaling separated selected lines from controls for the hippocampus ( $p=0.012$ ) but not for the  
199 liver ( $p=0.286$ ). The same pattern was observed in analyses limited to 500 contigs with expression most  
200 differentiated among all samples (hippocampus:  $p=0.016$ ; liver:  $p=0.289$ , Fig. 3). On the other hand, the  
201 number of contigs with statistically significant differences in expression between the P and C lines (FDR <  
202 0.05) was higher in the liver (90; 0.10% of all contigs expressed in liver) than in the hippocampus (59; 0.04%  
203 of expressed hippocampus contigs) ( $\chi^2=29.8$ ,  $P<0.001$ ; Tab S5, Tab S6). Candidate loci potentially associated  
204 with predatory behavior are described in Discussion.

205

## 206 **Discussion**

### 207 *Molecular basis of variation in predatory behavior*

208 We employed the evolve and resequence approach to provide the first genome-wide dataset on genetic  
209 variation associated with predatory behavior in a mammalian species. The analysis revealed both highly



210 differentiated allele frequencies in transcribed portions of the genome and differences in expression of  
211 several genes, which function suggests plausible role in the increased predatory behavior (Tables S4, S5, S6).

212         The two SNPs with the highest differences (diffStat values 0.47) and with the same allele fixed in  
213 all predatory lines were localized next to each other in a noncoding transcript, which was manually annotated  
214 as 3'UTR region of cAMP-specific 3',5'-cyclic phosphodiesterase 4D gene (*PDE4D*). *PDE4D* is one of four  
215 known cAMP-specific genes in the mammalian genome, expressed in the cerebellum, thalamus, habenula,  
216 hippocampus and cerebral cortex (Iona et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1999). Inhibition of PDE4D produces  
217 antidepressant-like effects in both animals and humans via reduction of cAMP signaling in the brain (Zhang  
218 et al. 2009). Interestingly, Wang et al. (2015) found an association between presence of PDE4D isoforms and  
219 changes in behavioral tests in mice. They reported 85.9% increase of the latency to feed in the Novelty-  
220 Suppressed Feeding test after the chronic unpredictable stress procedure. This tendency (together with other  
221 behaviors specific for animal models of depression) was however reversed, if long isoforms of PDE4D gene  
222 were blocked. Although hippocampal expression of *PDE4D* did not differ between P and C lines, these  
223 results might suggest that changes in alternative splicing of PDE4D played an important role in response to  
224 selection for predatory behavior.

225         Another intriguing example is presented by the contig over-expressed in the predators'  
226 hippocampus, that encodes 3'UTR of gamma-aminobutyric acid B receptor 1 (*GABBR1*). Interestingly, SNP  
227 with one of the highest diffStat values is localized in gene encodes pyridoxine-5'-phosphate oxidase (PNPO).  
228 This gene catalyzes the rate limiting step in the synthesis of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, an important cofactor in  
229 biosynthesis of many neurotransmitters including dopamine, serotonin and gamma-aminobutyric acid  
230 (GABA). Imbalance between glutamatergic and GABAergic activity leads to the hyperactivity of the limbic  
231 regions and aggressive behavior (for review see Siever 2008). GABA is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter  
232 in the central nervous system, acting through ionotropic GABA<sub>A</sub> and metabotropic GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors. The  
233 latter is responsible for the neuronal activity modulation, synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis (Pinard et al.  
234 2010; Hensler et al. 2012). It was shown that GABA signaling through GABA<sub>B1</sub> receptors inhibits  
235 proliferation in the hippocampus and reduces neurogenesis (Giachino et al. 2014). We thus suspect that  
236 changes associated with GABAergic signaling may be responsible for the evolution of predatory behavior. It  
237 is also worth to mention that both serotonergic and dopaminergic systems regulate arousal, mood, attention

238 and cognitive functions, thus changes in their activations may be associated with aggressive disorders  
239 (Kudryavtseva 2000).

240 The analysis revealed also several other highly differentiated genes encoding proteins involved in  
241 functions of the central nervous system, such as proline dehydrogenase 1 (*PRODH*; the highest p-value in  
242 GLMM test), associated with cognitive dysfunctions in humans; (Kempf et al. 2008), Phospholipase A2  
243 (*PLA2*), linked to schizophrenia and autism (Bell e al. 2004), or CB1 cannabinoid receptor-interacting  
244 protein 1 (*CNRIP1*), involved in the mechanism of reward-related eating (Harrold et al. 2002; Guggenhuber  
245 et al. 2010).

246 Expression of testosterone 17-beta-dehydrogenase 3 (*HSD17B3*), a gene encoding the enzyme  
247 involved in the reduction of androstenedione to testosterone, was increased in livers of P-line voles.  
248 Increased testosterone level results in increased intraspecific aggression (Nelson and Chiavegatto 2001), and  
249 the question whether intraspecific aggression shares a partly common control mechanism with the inter-  
250 specific predatory aggression is subject to debate (Sandnabba et al. 1995; Weinshenker et al. 2002).  
251 Upregulated expression of a few other genes in liver indicates that the increased readiness of P voles to  
252 attack and eat the crickets could be due to increased sensitivity to hunger: both G0/G1 switch gene 2 (*G0S2*)  
253 and protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 3G (*PPP1R3G*) were reported to be upregulated during fasting  
254 (Zandbergen et al. 2005; Luo et al. 2011), whereas leptin receptor is involved in the main regulatory  
255 mechanism of feeding and energy balance (e.g. Ahima and Flier 2000; Otte et al. 2004). Finally,  
256 differentiated expression of Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator 2 (*ARNT2*) in the liver, and  
257 differentiated allele frequencies in delta-aminolevulinate synthase 1 (*ALAS1*) intron SNPs, indicate plausible  
258 changes in the general activity and circadian rhythm pattern, which could contribute do difference in  
259 predatory behavior observed at a particular time of day.

260 Overall, the selection experiment showed considerable genetic potential for evolution of predatory  
261 behavior in the bank vole, which was here related to genes differentiated between predatory and control  
262 lines. All the genes presented briefly above are interesting targets for future research not only in the context  
263 of possible role in evolution of predatory aggression, but also using the selected lines of voles as prospective  
264 models of mental and metabolic disorders.

265 *Patterns of response to selection for quantitative traits*

266 In this study we used replicate lines derived from a natural population of an omnivore rodent to  
267 experimentally quantify molecular level response to selection for predatory behavior and to compare it with  
268 response to selection for aerobic capacity. In case of both traits, the overall pattern of gene expression separated  
269 selected lines from controls, but expression of twice as many contigs was differentiated in aerobic as in  
270 predatory lines.

271 Differentiation of allele frequencies between selected and control lines exceeded neutral expectations  
272 for predatory but not for aerobic lines. This conclusion is supported by two findings in predatory lines: i)  
273 separate clustering of predatory lines based on pairwise  $F_{ST}$  and ii) an excess of SNPs with repeatable allele  
274 frequency differences compared to neutral expectations obtained by pedigree-based simulations. Interestingly  
275 in predatory lines, regardless of the simulation method (independent SNPs vs. entire haplotypes) an excess of  
276 differentiated SNPs was found among synonymous polymorphisms, but we did not observe higher than  
277 expected differentiation at nonsynonymous sites. This might be associated with high false discovery rate, but  
278 might also suggest that changes other than amino-acid substitutions dominate in evolution of predatory lines.  
279 The second hypothesis is supported by the trend observed in genes containing candidate SNPs with highly  
280 differentiated allele frequencies. Such genes selected by either diffStat or GLMM approach tend to show higher  
281 differences in expression between predatory and control lines than other genes. This trend is visible both in the  
282 comparison of the nominal P values ( $p = 0.10$  and  $p = 0.005$  for diffStat and GLMM respectively; Mann-  
283 Whitney U test; Fig. S4, Fig. S5, Tab. S3, Tab. S4) and in the expression fold change ( $p=0.07$ ,  $p=0.08$  for  
284 diffStat and GLMM respectively; Mann-Whitney U test; Fig. S6, Fig. S7). We thus argue that, as in the case  
285 of previously reported results for aerobic lines, the response to selection for predatory behavior occurs mostly  
286 via changes in gene expression. (Konczal et al. 2015). On the other hand, repeatable changes at synonymous  
287 and UTR-located sites (the latter supported only by comparison with simulated haplotypes) – potentially  
288 associated with regulation of gene expression, alternative splicing and mRNA stability (Kuersten and Goodwin  
289 2003; Chamary et al. 2006; Plotkin and Kudla 2011) were observed for predatory but not for aerobic lines.  
290 This difference suggests that selection for various quantitative traits conducted under the same conditions can  
291 produce different genomic patterns. Below we discuss potential causes and consequences of this observation.

292 Nature of genome-level response to selection may depend on several factors: amount of standing  
293 genetic variation, effective population size, selection pressure or genetic architecture of selected trait (Kofler

294 and Schlötterer 2013; Kessner and Novembre 2015). In our experiment most of these factors did not differ  
295 between lines selected for aerobic metabolism and those selected for predatory behavior. All lines were derived  
296 from the same base population (Sadowska et al. 2008), thus voles share both population history before the  
297 onset of selection and the pool of standing genetic variation. Effective population sizes do not differ between  
298 aerobic and predatory lines ( $p=0.51$ , t-test). Selection regimes are comparable between treatments as is  
299 response to selection at the phenotypic level (Fig. 1; Chrzascik et al. 2014; Sadowska et al. 2015). All lines are  
300 kept in the same laboratory under identical standard conditions, so domestication, if occurs, should affect them  
301 in a similar way (Sadowska et al. 2015). Finally, the same methodology was applied: number of individuals  
302 sequenced, data filtering and quality control, transcriptome reconstruction, SNP calling and allele frequency  
303 estimation were all identical for predatory, aerobic and control lines (Sadowska et al. 2008; Konczal et al.  
304 2015). Therefore, in our opinion the most likely explanation for the observed difference between predatory  
305 and aerobic lines is the difference in genetic architectures between selected traits.

306           Genetic architecture refers to the pattern of genetic effects that build and control a given phenotypic  
307 character and its variation properties (Hansen 2006). Full characterization of genetic architecture requires  
308 information about the number of genes and alleles, the distribution of allelic and mutational effects, the  
309 distribution of allele frequencies in population, and patterns of pleiotropy, dominance and epistasis (Mackay  
310 2001). Such full characterization remains beyond reach for quantitative traits in any system. However, our  
311 results provide insight into some aspects of differences in genetic architecture between traits.

312           The probability of obtaining differentiated allele frequencies between selected and control lines  
313 depends on the strength of selection acting on particular variants. Weakly selected SNPs will have less  
314 differentiated allele frequencies than SNPs strongly influencing the trait under selection. If many variants, each  
315 of small effect, underlie aerobic performance, dynamics of allele frequency changes will be dominated by  
316 stochastic processes. Hence, we would not observe repeatable allele frequency changes, despite repeatable  
317 evolution of phenotypes. More complex genetic architecture in terms of the number of genes involved is also  
318 capable of generating greater correlated responses through pleiotropy (Falconer and Mackay 1996). Higher  
319 number of differentially expressed genes in aerobic lines compared to predatory lines is consistent with this  
320 scenario. Thus, the difference in the number of genes responding to selection probably contributes to the  
321 observed difference between predatory and aerobic lines.

322           The contrast between aerobic and predatory lines could in principle result from differences in initial  
323 frequencies of selected variants (Konczal et al. 2015) or differences in frequencies of variants that form  
324 epistatic interactions with selected variants (Flint and Mackay 2009; Mackay 2014). In both cases variants of  
325 large effect might have been selected in aerobic lines, but different SNPs responded in different replicated  
326 lines. Yet another scenario might explain the observed pattern: large effect variants in non-transcribed part of  
327 genome are selected in aerobic lines, but could not be detected with transcriptomic surveys. While these effects  
328 cannot be tested with our data and thus we cannot exclude their contribution to the differences between aerobic  
329 and predatory lines, future work on the genome scale is required to confirm the findings presented here.  
330 However, neither of the alternative hypotheses explains the higher number of differentially expressed genes in  
331 aerobic lines, so currently the most likely explanation is that a smaller number of larger effect variants underlie  
332 variation in predatory behavior whereas a larger number of smaller effect variants affect aerobic performance.

333           The distribution of effect sizes of common variants appears similar for most quantitative traits and  
334 the model of large number of loci, each of small effect was postulated as an adequate description of genetic  
335 variation in fitness-related traits (Flint and Mackay 2009). According to this model response to selection for  
336 various quantitative traits should generate similar genomic patterns. Our results, however, suggest differences  
337 in distribution of effect sizes between traits sufficient to produce dissimilar patterns of response to selection at  
338 the molecular level. This result is in line with suggestions that genetic architectures may differ between  
339 complex traits (Hansen 2006). For instance, some theoretical studies showed that genetic architecture should  
340 evolve according to selection pressure acting on a trait (Hansen 2006; Rajon and Plotkin 2013).

341           As we demonstrated here, evolve and resequence approach performed on selection lines derived from  
342 a natural population can reveal differences in genetic architecture of different traits. We caution, however,  
343 against an excessive optimism and unrealistic expectations – the statistical power to detect loci under selection  
344 is relatively low, and strongly depends on effective population size, number of replicates and genetic  
345 architecture of selected traits (Kofler and Schlötterer 2013). Various types of analytical approaches differ also  
346 in power and may result in very high rate of false positives. For example, the diffStat statistic is not sensitive  
347 to differences in coverage across loci, and thus may produce many false positives in low expressed transcripts  
348 (Kofler and Schlötterer 2013, Turner et al. 2011). An alternative method (GLMM on read counts) may result  
349 in in excess of false positives because coverage for many genes is much higher than the number of

350 chromosomes sampled from a population. Yet another problem - high overdispersion of allele frequencies  
351 resulting from multiple generations of drift – may rend the standard distributions used for hypothesis testing  
352 too narrow (i.e. if distributions derived from drift simulations are not applied). Indeed, we found a large  
353 overrepresentation of SNPs with differentiated allele frequencies (Fig. S8) when the False Discovery Rate was  
354 calculated with the standard method (qvalue). However, when we compared these results with simulated data,  
355 this high overrepresentation of SNPs differentiated between treatments was strongly reduced. Thus in our  
356 opinion drawing general conclusions about the molecular basis of adaptive evolutionary change from selection  
357 experiments might be difficult, especially when the population sizes and numbers of replicates are small. Such  
358 experiments can, however, constitute an important step in understanding genomic basis of variation in traits of  
359 interest, especially when multiple populations show repeatable genomic response to selection. Selection  
360 experiments also shed light on the long standing questions concerning genomics of complex traits, such as  
361 those about differences in genetic architecture of different traits, as addressing them does not necessarily  
362 depend on the identification of specific genes.

363

## 364 **Materials and methods**

### 365 *Selection experiment*

366 This study was performed using individuals from the 13<sup>th</sup> generation of the bank vole (*Myodes*  
367 (= *Clethrionomys glareolus*) selection experiment. Samples sequenced for this study were obtained from  
368 control lines and from lines selected for predatory behavior. The additional analyses were performed on  
369 previously reported data for lines selected for aerobic performance (Konczal et al. 2015). Detailed information  
370 about the animal maintenance and welfare, selection protocols, and direct effects of selection are presented  
371 elsewhere (Sadowska et al. 2008; Babik et al. 2010; Chrzascik et al. 2014; Sadowska et al. 2015; Stawski et  
372 al. 2015). Briefly, the colony was established from about 320 voles captured in the Niepołomice Forest in  
373 southern Poland (Sadowska et al. 2008). For 6-7 generations, the animals were bred randomly, and then  
374 multidimensional selection experiment was established. In P lines analyzed here (four independent lines), the  
375 selection criterion was a time to catch a live cricket (*Gryllus assimilis*), according to the protocol of the  
376 predatory test (Polsky 1978, Gammie et al. 2003). The voles were fasten for 10-12h, next crickets were placed  
377 in each cage and their presence was verified after 0.5, 1, 3, 6, and 10 min. The results were scored as ranks (1–

378 5: cricket caught in 0.5, 1, 3, 6 or 10 min, respectively; rank 6: cricket not caught). Tests were repeated two to  
379 four times (mostly four) for each individual, depending on generation and human resources. After 13  
380 generations of selection, the proportion of voles attacking crickets was 5 times higher in the selected P lines  
381 than in unselected control C lines (Fig. 1; Sadowska et al. 2015). The main difference is that about 80% of  
382 voles from the unselected C lines do not attack the cricket at all in any of the replicated trials whereas about  
383 70% of voles from the P-selected lines attack the cricket already in the first trial. Thus, the major difference  
384 appears already in the first trial, which is also the first contact with a cricket in the voles live. In the A lines the  
385 selection criterion was the maximum mass-independent 1-min rate of oxygen consumption achieved during 18  
386 min swimming (Konczal et al. 2015). After 13 generations of selection swim-induced maximum rate of oxygen  
387 consumption was 48% higher in aerobic lines than in C lines (Fig. 1).

388         It could be argued that the difference in the selected trait between the P and C lines resulted not from  
389 increased motivation or ability to catch a live prey (predatory behavior per se), but from increased motivation  
390 to get any food (i.e. the increased sensitivity to hunger). To test for this possibility we performed behavioral  
391 trials designed in the same way as the predatory trials, except that the animals received a small pellet of  
392 standard food rather than a cricket. The tests were performed on 32 P-line voles and 32 C-line voles (eight  
393 from each of the four P-lines and four C- lines) from generation 21. Unlike in the trials with crickets, all  
394 individuals started to eat the food pellet after it was offered, and most of them did it nearly instantly, within 30  
395 seconds. The latency was slightly longer in the control lines, but the difference was small. In the first trial,  
396 performed after 6 hours of fasting, in C lines 27 voles took the pellet within 30 sec, 1 within 1 min, and 4  
397 within 3 min, whereas in P lines 29 within 30 sec, 2 within 3 min and 1 within 6 min. In the second trial,  
398 performed on the same animals after additional 3 hours of fasting, in C lines 26 voles took the pellet after 30  
399 sec, 3 within 1 min, 1 within 3 min, and 1 within 10 min, whereas in P lines all individuals took the pellet  
400 within 30 sec. Thus, a selection for an increased motivation to take any kind of food (sensitivity to hunger)  
401 may partly explain the observation that P-line voles attack the cricket faster than those from C lines, but not  
402 the observation that most of C-line voles do not attack the cricket at all, whereas most of P-line voles do that.

403         All the breeding and experimental protocols have been approved by the Polish State and Local Ethical  
404 Committee for Ethics in Animal Research in Krakow (decisions No. 99/2006, 21/2010 and 22/2010).

405 *P vs. C lines comparison - sampling, sequencing and quality control*

406 Five females and five males of 75-80 days in age were sampled from each of eight lines; each individual came  
407 from a different family. The individuals were previously used only for routine body mass measurements. Voles  
408 were euthanized by being placed individually in a jar containing isoflurane (Aerane®) fumes. Small part of  
409 the left liver lobe and entire hippocampus were excised and immediately placed in RNAlater (Sigma-Aldrich).  
410 Tissues were collected between 8.00 am and 2.00 pm. Samples were stored overnight at 4°C and then frozen  
411 at -20°C.

412 We analyzed here the hippocampus and liver transcriptomes to understand genetic basis of predatory  
413 behavior. The studied behavior is likely controlled by the limbic system consisting of the prefrontal cortex,  
414 amygdala, hypothalamus and hippocampus. The interaction between the amygdala and the hippocampus  
415 coordinates the emotional and reward-related modulation of behavior (Terada et al. 2013). Moreover, the  
416 hippocampus was shown to be engaged in episodic memory processing and spatial learning (for review see  
417 Bannerman et al. 2014), as well as involved in feeding-related (Tracy et al. 2001) and goal-directed (Kennedy  
418 and Shapiro 2009) behaviors. In the light of above facts, we have chosen the hippocampus as the candidate  
419 organ in which many genetic changes may occur.

420 Liver transcriptomes were analyzed because many genes expressed in this organ provide information  
421 about allele frequency changes in genes which are not expressed in the hippocampus. Moreover, if evolution  
422 of predatory behavior is accompanied by changes in overall physiology (e.g. hunger level, metabolism or  
423 stress) then gene expression changes may also occur in the liver.

424 Total RNA was extracted with RNazol® (MRC); RNA concentration and quality were measured  
425 with Nanodrop and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. All samples had RNA Integrity Number higher than 7.0. Then,  
426 for each organ, we prepared one pooled sample per line using equal amounts of total RNA from each individual.  
427 Residual DNA was removed from pooled samples using DNA-free Kit (Ambion®).

428 Preparation of barcoded cDNA libraries with TrueSeq RNA kit was performed by Georgia Genomic  
429 Facility, USA. Hippocampus sample from one control line (C3) was paired-end sequenced (2 x 100bp) and  
430 used for reference transcriptome reconstruction. For the remaining 7 pools, single-end (1 x 100 bp) sequencing  
431 was performed. Four pools of liver transcriptomes predatory lines were also single-end sequenced (1 x 100 bp)  
432 and compared with previously reported liver samples from control lines (Konczal et al. 2015).

433 *Hippocampus reference transcriptome reconstruction and annotation*



434 Pair end reads were trimmed with DynamicTrim (Cox and Peterson 2010) and used for *de novo* hippocampus  
435 transcriptome assembly with Trinity (version 2013-02-15 with `-REDUCE` option; Grabherr et al. 2011). We  
436 then processed the Trinity output by merging transcripts that were probably derived from the same genomic  
437 location and subsequently produced transcriptome-based gene models, which we refer to as “contigs” (Stuglik  
438 et al. 2014).

439 Putative coding sequences were identified using the pipeline distributed with Trinity and annotated  
440 using Trinotate software and homology search to the Swissprot database. For candidate contigs which could  
441 not be annotated automatically, we attempted manual annotation using `blastn` searches against the mouse  
442 genome. We found that many of these sequences represent 3' untranslated regions (3'UTRs) or regions  
443 immediately downstream of genes, probably extended 3'UTRs in bank voles or unannotated transcribed  
444 regions in mouse (Tab. S1). Existing assembly strategies often fragment long 3'UTRs (Shenker et al. 2015)  
445 and some 3'UTRs may be transcribed separately from the associated protein coding sequences (Mercer et al.  
446 2011). Allele frequency changes in such sequences may be caused by either linkage to causative variants in  
447 noncoding regions (coding nonsynonymous changes were investigated), or may be functionally important *per*  
448 *se*. The 3'UTRs and downstream sequences affect the expression of eukaryotic genes by regulation of mRNA  
449 translation, stability and subcellular localization (Kuersten and Goodwin 2003).

#### 450 *SNP analyses*

451 Single-end reads were trimmed with DynamicTrim (Cox et al. 2010) and adaptors were removed with Cutadapt  
452 (Martin 2011). We subsampled also single-end reads from pair-end reads, to obtain comparable amount of data  
453 for all lines and organs. Reads were mapped to the reference transcriptomes using Bowtie2 (Langmead and  
454 Salzberg 2012) and we considered only reads with mapping quality > 20 and positions with base quality > 20  
455 phred. SNP calling was performed with samtools (Li et al. 2009), Popoolation2 (Kofler et al. 2011) and custom  
456 scripts as described in detail elsewhere (Konczal et al. 2015). Genome wide  $F_{ST}$  estimates were calculated from  
457 average number of pairwise differences between and within population across all analyzed SNPs with custom  
458 scripts. To select most differentiated SNPs  $F_{ST}$  distances were calculated for each SNP with PoPoolation2 and  
459 SNPs with the largest average distance were subjected for downstream analyses. To test for separate clustering  
460 of selected and control lines we calculated the ratio of between treatment to within treatment variance using R  
461 package `vegan` (Oksanen et al. 2013)

462 Candidate SNPs were identified by two approaches. Firstly, similar to the analyses presented for  
463 voles selected for aerobic capacity (Konczal et al. 2015), we calculated minimum absolute difference in allele  
464 frequency between the predatory and control lines, as the diffStat score (Turner et al. 2011). To narrow down  
465 the list we selected SNPs with diffStat > 0.2. Additionally, we applied generalized linear-mixed model  
466 (GLMM), similar to approach presented by Jha et al. (2015). We used R package lme4, and reads counts  
467 (reference = 0, variant = 1) were used as an outcome variable. To avoid technical problems during calculations  
468 zero read counts were changed to one, which should not significantly affect results. The list of candidate SNPs  
469 was constructed for SNPs with p-value < 10<sup>-10</sup>.

#### 470 *Simulations of allele frequency differentiation under drift*

471 To obtain expectations of allele frequency differentiation under drift, we performed forward drift simulations  
472 on known pedigrees. The initial allele frequencies were estimated from four control lines and used to randomly  
473 assign alleles to individuals at the beginning of the experiment. Genetic drift was then simulated by random  
474 pass of alleles from parents to offspring. Finally the results expected from sequencing were obtained by adding  
475 pooling and sequencing variation as explained in detail elsewhere (Konczal et al. 2015). To obtain read counts  
476 expected from simulations, information about coverage was sampled from the empirical distribution, and such  
477 number of reads were sampled randomly with probability of obtaining the reference variant given by the  
478 simulated frequency. Simulations were performed separately for allele frequency spectra derived from all,  
479 synonymous, nonsynonymous, UTR-located and noncoding SNPs. Additionally, to control for linkage of SNPs  
480 within contigs, haplotype-based drift simulations were performed in three steps: haplotypes in the base  
481 population were simulated using information about genetic variation from the control lines and some  
482 assumptions about natural population of bank voles (1); these haplotypes were used for pedigree-based  
483 simulations and estimation of allele frequency (2); the results were compared between the observed data and  
484 simulations (3).

485 In the first step we estimated for each contig variation in the base population. Nucleotide diversity  
486 calculated for each control line ( $\pi$ ) was corrected for the loss of variation during the experiment according to  
487 the formula:

488

$$\pi_0 = \frac{ie^{-\frac{t}{2N}}}{(i-1)\pi_t}$$

489

490 where  $i$  is the number of individuals sequenced,  $t$  is the number of generations (13) and  $N$  is the effective  
491 population size estimated from pedigree (Charlesworth and Charlesworth 2010). Mean  $\pi_0$  calculated from 4  
492 control lines was then used as the estimate of the population mutation rate ( $\theta$ ) in the base population. Values  
493 of  $\theta$  together with information about contig length were used to simulate haplotypes in the base population. To  
494 introduce recombination in the genealogical process producing these haplotypes we assumed effective  
495 population size  $Ne = 10^5$ , and recombination rate of  $r = 5.8 \times 10^{-9}$  per bp as estimated for the mouse (Jensen-  
496 Seaman et al. 2004). Both  $Ne$  and  $r$  values are only very crude estimates or even educated guesses, but details  
497 should not matter too much as long as some recombination is allowed. For each contig 1000 sets of haplotypes  
498 were simulated with ms (Hudson 2002).

499 In the second step, haplotypes were randomly assigned to individuals at the beginning of the  
500 experiment, and pedigree-based simulations were performed as described above for independent SNPs.  
501 Forward drift simulations were performed 1000 times, each time with different set of haplotypes. This  
502 approach assumes no recombination within contigs during the experiment, which appears reasonable given its  
503 time scale and effective population sizes.

504 Finally, the total number of SNPs and number of SNPs with non-overlapping allele frequencies were  
505 compared between the observed data and simulations. To minimize the effect of rare variants which are rarely  
506 called from pooled data, we removed all SNPs with mean minor allele frequency in four control lines  $< 0.0125$   
507 (singletons).

#### 508 *Expression analyses*

509 To identify differentially expressed genes, we mapped reads to the reference transcriptomes with bowtie and  
510 used Trinity pipeline with EdgeR Bioconductor and RSEM (Grabherr et al. 2011; Gentleman et al. 2004; Li  
511 and Dewey 2011). Only contigs for which the sum of expected counts over all samples was higher than 10  
512 were used for analyses.

513 We found that expression of twice as many genes was differentiated in aerobic as in predatory lines.  
514 This difference may be partially explained by the newer release of software (edgeR) used for analyses of

515 predatory lines, but even when older version was applied, sequenced in both cases liver transcriptomes had  
516 much more contigs differentially expressed in aerobic (278) than in predatory lines (178).

517 To statistically test for separate clustering of transcriptional profiles of selected and control lines we  
518 used similar strategy to that for  $F_{ST}$ . We used the table of expression values (FPKM, TMM normalized) and  
519 calculated distance matrix (dist() function) followed by calculation of the ratio of between treatment to within  
520 treatment variance. The statistical significance of this ratio was assessed through 1000 randomizations.  
521 Differences between lines in genome-wide transcriptional profiles were visualized with multidimensional  
522 scaling (plotMDS {edgeR}).

### 523 *Data accessibility*

524 Raw sequencing reads are available in the NCBI BioProject PRJNA296483. The reference transcriptome, its  
525 annotation, entire datasets about allele frequencies and expression, as well as used in this project scripts are  
526 available at the Dryad Digital Repository (doi.xxx).

527

### 528 **Acknowledgments**

529 This work was supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (N N303 816740 to P.K.)  
530 and Jagiellonian University (DS/WBINOZ/INOS/757 to PK and DS/WBINOZ/INOS/762 to W.B). We are  
531 grateful to many technicians and students, especially to K. Baliga-Klimczyk, K. Chrzęścik, G. Dheyongera,  
532 D. Pękała, A. Rudolf, C. Stawski, for their contribution to animal maintenance, selection protocols and help  
533 with dissections.

### 534 **Tables**

#### 535 **Tab. 1. Basic statistics of the hippocampus reference transcriptome.**

No. of contigs	153,677
No. of contigs >1kb	29,267
N50 contig length (bp)	1,598
No. of contigs within N50	18,518
No. of putative protein coding contigs	28,743
Total length (Mb)	122

536 contigs - transcriptome-based gene models contain both coding and noncoding sequences.

537 N50 - 50% of the assembly length is in contigs of the length of N50 bp or longer.

538

539 **Tab. 2. Number of SNPs identified in the liver and hippocampus transcriptomes of bank voles derived**  
 540 **from predatory and control lines**

No. of SNPs	179,468
No. of contigs with SNPs	15,580
No. of nonsynonymous SNPs	21,708
No. of synonymous SNPs	44,102
No. of UTR-located SNPs	82,422
No. of SNPs in noncoding contigs	31,236

541

542

543 **Tab. 3. Number of SNPs with non-overlapping allele frequencies (differentiated SNPs) between**

544 **selected lines and controls.** Number of candidates and all SNPs are compared with expectations produced  
 545 from haplotype simulations. To reduce bias introduced by SNP calling from pool RNAseq data, singletons  
 546 were removed from the analysis.

	Predatory lines		Aerobic lines	
	observed	expected	observed	expected
No of differentiated SNPs	<b>3,342</b>	2,565 – 3,198	2,882	2,349 – 3,009
No of SNPs	163,646	164,400 – 168,720	156,452	154,760 – 159,120

547

548

549 **Tab. 4. Number of differentiated SNPs in predatory lines grouped according to the SNP class.**

	observed	expected
Nonsynonymous	380	296 - 395
Synonymous	<b>849</b>	634 - 780
UTR	<b>1527</b>	1196 - 1430
Noncoding	586	439 - 593

550

## 551 **Figure legends**

552

553 **Fig. 1. Phenotypic response to selection in the experiment (mean values for replicate lines).** Four  
 554 independent lines were selected for increased predatory behavior (red triangles), four lines were selected for  
 555 high aerobic exercise metabolism (blue diamonds; the selection was relaxed in generation 12) and four lines  
 556 were maintained as controls (black circles). (Cartoon drawings by January Weiner, adapted from Sadowska et  
 557 al. 2008).

558

559 **Fig. 2. Genetic differentiation of A) aerobic-selected (blue diamonds) vs. control lines (black circles) and**  
 560 **B) predatory-selected (red triangles) vs. control lines, in the bank vole selection experiment.**

561 Multidimensional scaling (MDS) was performed on the matrices of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  distances between lines

562 calculated for top 500 SNPs with the highest mean pairwise  $F_{ST}$ , i.e. showing the most overall differentiation  
563 among lines (separately for the two comparisons).

564

565 **Fig. 3 Expression differentiation in liver (A) and hippocampus (B) samples from predatory (red**  
566 **triangles) vs. control (black circles) lines of the bank vole selection experiment.** Multidimensional scaling  
567 plots were drawn from top 500 contigs with the largest variation in expression, treating all lines as a one group.

568

569

## 570 **References**

571

572 Ahima RS, Flier JS. 2000. Leptin. *Annual review of physiology*. 62(1): 413-437.

573 Babik W, Stuglik M, Qi W, Kuenzli M, Kuduk K, Koteja P, Radwan J. 2010. Heart transcriptome of the bank  
574 vole (*Myodes glareolus*): towards understanding the evolutionary variation in metabolic rate. *BMC*  
575 *genomics*. 11(1): 390.

576 Bannerman, DM, Sprengel R, Sanderson DJ, McHugh SB, Rawlins JNP, Monyer H, Seeburg PH. 2014. Hip-  
577 pocampal synaptic plasticity, spatial memory and anxiety. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 15(3): 181-  
578 192.

579 Barbosa P, Castellanos I. (Eds.). 2005. *Ecology of predator-prey interactions*. Oxford University Press.

580 Bell JG, MacKinlay EE, Dick JR, MacDonald DJ, Boyle RM, Glen ACA. 2004. Essential fatty acids and  
581 phospholipase A 2 in autistic spectrum disorders. *Prostaglandins, leukotrienes and essential fatty acids*.  
582 71(4): 201-204.

583 Burke MK, Dunham JP, Shahrestani P, Thornton KR, Rose MR, Long AD. 2010. Genome-wide analysis of a  
584 long-term evolution experiment with *Drosophila*. *Nature*. 467(7315): 587-590.

585 Chamary JV, Parmley JL, Hurst LD. 2006. Hearing silence: non-neutral evolution at synonymous sites in  
586 mammals. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 7(2): 98-108.

587 Charlesworth B, Charlesworth D. 2010. *Elements of Evolutionary Genetics* Roberts & Company Publishers.

588 Cox MP, Peterson DA, Biggs PJ. 2010. SolexaQA: At-a-glance quality assessment of Illumina second-  
589 generation sequencing data. *BMC bioinformatics*. 11(1): 485.

590 Chrzascik KM, Sadowska ET, Rudolf A, Koteja P. 2014. Learning ability in bank voles selected for high  
591 aerobic metabolism, predatory behaviour and herbivorous capability. *Physiology & behavior*. 135:  
592 143-151.

593 Curio E. 1976. *Ethology of predation*. Springer-Verlag.

594 De Wit P, Pespeni MH, Palumbi SR. 2015. SNP genotyping and population genomics from expressed  
595 sequences—current advances and future possibilities. *Molecular ecology*. 24(10): 2310-2323.

596 Falconer DS, Mackay TF. 1996. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Harlow. UK: Longman.

597 Flint J, Mackay TF. 2009. Genetic architecture of quantitative traits in mice, flies, and humans. *Genome*  
598 *research*. 19(5): 723-733.

599 Gammie S.C., N.S. Hasen, J.S. Rhodes, I. Girard and T. Garland, Jr. 2003. Predatory aggression, but not  
600 maternal or intermale aggression, is associated with high voluntary wheel-running behavior in mice.  
601 *Hormones and Behavior* 44:209-221.

602 Garland T, Rose MR (Eds.). 2009. Experimental evolution: concepts, methods, and applications of selection  
603 experiments. Berkeley: University of California Press.

604 Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, et al. 2004. Bioconductor: open  
605 software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome biology*. 5(10): R80.

606 Giachino C, Barz M, Tchorz JS, Tome M, Gassmann M, Bischofberger J. 2014. GABA suppresses neuro-  
607 genesis in the adult hippocampus through GABAB receptors. *Development*. 141(1): 83-90.

608 Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. 2011. Full-length transcriptome  
609 assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature biotechnology*. 29(7): 644-652.

610 Guggenhuber S, Monory K, Lutz B, Klugmann M. 2010. AAV vector-mediated overexpression of CB1 can-  
611 nabinoid receptor in pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus protects against seizure-induced excitox-  
612 icity. *PLoS One*. 5(12): e15707.

613 Hansen TF. 2006. The evolution of genetic architecture. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and*  
614 *Systematics*. 123-157.

615 Harrold JA, Elliott JC, King PJ, Widdowson PS, Williams G. 2002. Down-regulation of cannabinoid-1 (CB-  
616 1) receptors in specific extrahypothalamic regions of rats with dietary obesity: a role for endogenous  
617 cannabinoids in driving appetite for palatable food?. *Brain research*. 952(2): 232-238

618 Hensler JG, Advani T, Burke TF, Cheng K, Rice KC, Koek W. 2012. GABAB receptor-positive modulators:  
619 brain region-dependent effects. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 340(1): 19-  
620 26.

621 Hudson R. 2002. Generating samples under a Wright–Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. *Bioinformat-  
622 ics*, 18(2): 337-338.

623 Iona S, Cuomo M, Bushnik, T, Naro F, Sette C, Hess, et al. 1998. Characterization of the rolipram-sensitive,  
624 cyclic AMP-specific phosphodiesterases: identification and differential expression of immunologically  
625 distinct forms in the rat brain. *Molecular pharmacology*. 53(1): 23-32.

626 Ishii Y, Shimada M. 2010. The effect of learning and search images on predator–prey  
627 interactions. *Population ecology*. 52(1), 27-35.

628 Jensen-Seaman MI, Furey TS, Payseur BA, Lu Y, Roskin KM, Chen CF, et al. 2004. Comparative  
629 recombination rates in the rat, mouse, and human genomes. *Genome research*. 14(4): 528-538.

630 Jha AR, Miles CM, Lippert NR, Brown CD, White KP, Kreitman M. 2015. Whole-genome resequencing of  
631 experimental populations reveals polygenic basis of egg-size variation in *Drosophila melanogaster*.  
632 *Molecular biology and evolution*. 32(10), 2616-2632.

633 Johansson AM, Pettersson ME, Siegel PB, Carlborg O. 2010. Genome-wide effects of long-term divergent  
634 selection. *PLoS Genetics*. 6(11): e1001188.

635 Kawecki TJ, Lenski RE, Ebert D, Hollis B, Olivieri I, Whitlock MC. 2012. Experimental evolution. *Trends*  
636 *in ecology & evolution*. 27(10): 547-560.

637 Kempf L, Nicodemus KK, Kolachana B, Vakkalanka R, Verchinski BA, Egan MF, et al. 2008. Functional  
638 polymorphisms in *PRODH* are associated with risk and protection for schizophrenia and fronto-striatal  
639 structure and function. *PLoS genetics*. 4(11): e1000252.

640 Kennedy PJ, Shapiro ML. 2009. Motivational states activate distinct hippocampal representations to guide  
641 goal-directed behaviors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 106(26): 10805-10810.

642 Kessner D, Novembre J. 2015. Power analysis of artificial selection experiments using efficient whole  
643 genome simulation of quantitative traits. *Genetics*. 199(4): 991-1005.

644 Kofler R, Pandey RV, Schlötterer C. 2011. PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between populations  
645 using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics*. 27(24): 3435-3436.

646 Kofler R, Schlötterer C. 2013. A guide for the design of evolve and resequencing studies. *Molecular biology*  
647 *and evolution*. mst221.

648 Konczal M, Koteja P, Stuglik MT, Radwan J, Babik W. 2014. Accuracy of allele frequency estimation using  
649 pooled RNA-Seq. *Molecular ecology resources*. 14(2): 381-392.

650 Konczal M, Babik W, Radwan J, Sadowska ET, Koteja P. 2015. Initial molecular-level response to artificial  
651 selection for increased aerobic metabolism occurs primarily via changes in gene expression. *Molecular*  
652 *Biology and Evolution*. 32(6): 1461-1473

653 Kuersten S, Goodwin EB. 2003. The power of the 3' UTR: translational control and development. *Nature*  
654 *Reviews Genetics*. 4(8): 626-637.

655 Kudryavtseva NN. 2000. Agonistic Behavior: A Model, Experimental Studies, and Perspectives. *Neurosci*  
656 *Behav Physiol*. 2000 May-Jun;30(3):293-305.

657 Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature methods*. 9(4): 357-359.

658 Lewontin RC. 1974. *The genetic basis of evolutionary change*. New York: Columbia University Press.

659 Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. 2009. The sequence alignment/map  
660 format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 25(16): 2078-2079.

661 Li B, Dewey CN. 2011. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a ref-  
662 erence genome. *BMC bioinformatics*. 12(1): 323.



663 Luo X, Zhang Y, Ruan X, Jiang X, Zhu L, Wang X, et al. 2011. Fasting-induced protein phosphatase 1  
664 regulatory subunit contributes to postprandial blood glucose homeostasis via regulation of hepatic  
665 glycogenesis. *Diabetes*. 60(5): 1435-1445.

666 Mackay TF. 2001. The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. *Annual review of genetics*. 35(1): 303-339.

667 Mackay TF. 2014. Epistasis and quantitative traits: using model organisms to study gene-gene  
668 interactions. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 15(1): 22-33.

669 Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet*.  
670 *Journal*. 17(1): pp-10.

671 Mercer TR, Wilhelm D, Dinger ME, Solda G, Korbic DJ, Glazov EA, et al. 2011. Expression of distinct  
672 RNAs from 3' untranslated regions. *Nucleic acids research*. 39(6): 2393-2403.

673 Nelson RJ, Chiavegatto S. 2001. Molecular basis of aggression. *Trends in neurosciences*. 24(12): 713-719.

674 Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, et al. 2013. Package  
675 'vegan'. *Community ecology package, version, 2(9)*.

676 Otte C, Otte JM, Strodthoff D, Bornstein SR, Fölsch UR, Mönig H, Kloehn S. 2004. Expression of leptin and  
677 leptin receptor during the development of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. *Experimental and clinical*  
678 *endocrinology & diabetes*. 112(1), 10-17.

679 Orozco-terWengel P, Kapun M, Nolte V, Kofler R, Flatt T, Schloetterer C. 2012. Adaptation of *Drosophila* to  
680 a novel laboratory environment reveals temporally heterogeneous trajectories of selected  
681 alleles. *Molecular ecology*. 21(20): 4931-4941.

682 Pinard, A, Seddik R, Bettler B. 2010. GABA B receptors: physiological functions and mechanisms of diver-  
683 sity. *Advances in pharmacology*. 58: 231-255.

684 Plotkin JB, Kudla G. 2011. Synonymous but not the same: the causes and consequences of codon  
685 bias. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 12(1): 32-42.

686 Polsky R. 1978. The ontogeny of predatory behavior in the golden hamster (*M.a.auratus*). IV. Effects of  
687 prolonged exposure, ITI, size of prey, and selective breeding. *Behaviour* 65:27-42

688 Radwan J, Babik W. 2012. The genomics of adaptation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*  
689 *Sciences*. 279(1749): 5024-5028.

690 Rajon E, Plotkin JB. 2013. The evolution of genetic architectures underlying quantitative traits. *Proceedings*  
691 *of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 280(1769): 1552.

692 Remington DL. 2015. Alleles versus mutations: Understanding the evolution of genetic architecture requires  
693 a molecular perspective on allelic origins. *Evolution*, 69(12): 3025-3038.

694 Ritchie EG, Johnson CN. 2009. Predator interactions, mesopredator release and biodiversity conservation.  
695 *Ecology letters*. 12(9): 982-998.

696 Ritchie EG, Elmhagen B, Glen AS, Letnic M, Ludwig G, McDonald RA. 2012. Ecosystem restoration with  
697 teeth: what role for predators?. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 27(5): 265-271.

698 Rockman MV. 2012. The QTN program and the alleles that matter for evolution: all that's gold does not  
699 glitter. *Evolution*. 66(1): 1-17.

700 Sadowska ET, Baliga - Klimczyk K, Chrzęścik KM, Koteja P. 2008. Laboratory model of adaptive radiation:  
701 a selection experiment in the bank vole. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*. 81(5): 627-640.

702 Sadowska ET, Stawski C, Rudolf A, Dheyongera G, Chrzęścik KM, Baliga-Klimczyk K, Koteja P. 2015.  
703 Evolution of basal metabolic rate in bank voles from a multidirectional selection  
704 experiment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 282(1806):  
705 20150025.

706 Sandnabba NK. 1995. Predatory aggression in male mice selectively bred for isolation-induced intermale  
707 aggression. *Behavior Genetics*. 25: 361–366.

708 Schlötterer C, Kofler R, Versace E, Tobler R, Franssen SU. 2015. Combining experimental evolution with  
709 next-generation sequencing: a powerful tool to study adaptation from standing genetic  
710 variation. *Heredity* 114: 431-440.

711 Shenker S, Miura P, Sanfilippo P, Lai EC, Carnes J, Lerch M, et al. 2015. IsoSCM: improved and alternative  
712 3' UTR annotation using multiple change-point inference. *RNA*. 21(1): 1-13.

713 Siddiqui A, Omkar D, Paul SC, Mishr, G. 2015. Predatory responses of selected lines of developmental  
714 variants of ladybird, *Propylea dissecta* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in relation to increasing prey and  
715 predator densities. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*. 25(9): 992-1010.

716 Siever L. 2008. Neurobiology of aggression and violence. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 429-442.

717 Stapley J, Reger J, Feulner PG, Smadja C, Galindo J, Ekblom R, et al. 2010. Adaptation genomics: the next  
718 generation. *Trends in ecology & evolution*. 25(12): 705-712.

719 Stawski C, Koteja P, Sadowska ET, Jefimow M, Wojciechowski MS. 2015. Selection for high activity-related  
720 aerobic metabolism does not alter the capacity of non-shivering thermogenesis in bank  
721 voles. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*. 180:  
722 51-56.

723 Stuglik MT, Babik W, Prokop Z, Radwan J. 2014. Alternative reproductive tactics and sex-biased gene  
724 expression: the study of the bulb mite transcriptome. *Ecology and Evolution*. 2014; 4(5): 623-632.

725 Swallow JG, Carter PA, Garland T. 1998. Artificial selection for increased wheel-running behavior in house  
726 mice. *Behavior genetics*. 28(3): 227-237.

727 Terada S, Takahashi S, Sakurai Y. 2013. Oscillatory interaction between amygdala and hippocampus coordi-  
728 nates behavioral modulation based on reward expectation. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience*. 7.

729 Tracy AL, Jarrard LE, Davidson TL. 2001. The hippocampus and motivation revisited: appetite and

- 730 activity. *Behavioural brain research*. 127(1): 13-23.
- 731 Tulogdi A, Biro L, Barsvari B, Stankovic M, Haller J, Toth M. 2015. Neural mechanisms of predatory ag-  
732 gression in rats—Implications for abnormal intraspecific aggression. *Behavioural brain research*. 283:  
733 108-115.
- 734 Turner TL, Stewart AD, Fields AT, Rice WR, Tarone AM. 2011. Population-based resequencing of  
735 experimentally evolved populations reveals the genetic basis of body size variation in *Drosophila*  
736 *melanogaster*. *PLoS genetics*. 7(3): e1001336.
- 737 Vijendravarma RK, Narasimha S, Kawecki TJ. 2013. Predatory cannibalism in *Drosophila melanogaster*  
738 larvae. *Nature communications*, 4, 1789.
- 739 Wang ZZ, Yang WX, Zhang Y, Zhao N, Zhang YZ, Liu YQ, et al. 2015. Phosphodiesterase-4D knock-down  
740 in the prefrontal cortex alleviates chronic unpredictable stress-induced depressive-like behaviors and  
741 memory deficits in mice. *Scientific reports*. 5.
- 742 Weinshenker NJ, Siegel A. 2002. Bimodal classification of aggression: Affective defense and predatory  
743 attack. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 7: 237–250.
- 744 Zandbergen F, Mandard SX, Escher P, Tan NX, Patsouris D, Jatkoe T, et al. 2005. The G0/G1 switch gene 2  
745 is a novel PPAR target gene. *Biochem. J.*392: 313-324.
- 746 Zhang K, Farooqui SM, O'Donnell JM. 1999. Ontogeny of rolipram-sensitive, low-K m, cyclic AMP-spe-  
747 cific phosphodiesterase in rat brain. *Developmental brain research*. 112(1): 11-19.
- 748 Zhang HT. 2009. Cyclic AMP-specific phosphodiesterase-4 as a target for the development of antidepressant  
749 drugs. *Current pharmaceutical design* 15(14), 1688-1698.





